TECHNICAL PUBLICATION 84-7

June 1984

METEOROLOGICAL AND HYDROLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF THE 1980-1982 DROUGHT

TECHNICAL PUBLICATION 84-7

METEOROLOGICAL AND HYDROLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF THE 1980-1982 DROUGHT

by

Steve Lin Jim Lane Jorge Marban

This publication was produced at an annual cost of \$168.75 or \$.34 per copy to inform the public. 500 590

Water Resources Division
Resource Planning Department
SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
West Palm Beach, Florida

June 1984

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	I	LIST OF FIGURES
	<u>Page</u>	Numb	<u>Page</u>
I.	Introduction2	1	Selected Month End Stage Hydrographs for Lake Okeechobee
II.	Meteorological Data Analysis2		
	A. Rainfall Analysis2	2	Basin Boundaries
	B. Rainfall Pattern 4	3	Kissimmee River Basin-Moving Average Rainfall (1915-1982)
	C. Drought Frequency Analysis 5	,	
	D. Results and Discussions	4	Upper Kissimmee Basin Accumulated Rainfall10
	3. Drought of June 1961-February 1962 9 4. Droughts of 1955-56, 1967-68, and 1973-74	5	Kissimmee River Basin Accomulated Rainfall
	E. Summary9	6	Upper East Coast Area Accumulated Rainfall
III.	Hydrologic Data Analysis14	7	Distanting Court 9 Cl 41 A Design
	A. Historical Inflows to Lake Okeechobee 14	7	Fisheating Creek & C-41A Basin Accumulated Rainfall
	B. Analysis of Surface Water Flow and Storage14	8	Lake Okeechobee Accumulated Rainfall12
	C. Analysis of Evapotranspiration 14		
	D. Results and Discussions	9	EEAS Accumulated Rainfall
	Historical Surface Inflow-Lake Okeechobee	10	W. Collier Basin Accumulated Rainfall 13
	June 1, 1980	11	Dade County Accumulated Rainfall 13
	4. Lake Okeechobee	12	Surface Water Storage Change -
	Creek and Lake Istokpoga Areas 18		June 1980 to March 1982
	b. Surface Water Inflow from theEverglades Agricultural Area	13	Stage Hydrograph - Lake Tohopekaliga (1980 - 1981)
	Canal Basin	14	Stage Hydrograph - Lake Kissimmee (1980-1981)
	e. Surface Water Outflows from Lake Okeechobee		(1300-1301)
	f. The Water Conservation Areas	15	Accumulated Deficiencies - Upper Kissimmee Lakes
IV.	Conclusions		
Ap	pendices		
	A. Drought Frequencies		
	B. Rainfall Station Information		

LIST OF FIGURES IN APPENDICES A AND B

Numb	er Page
A1	Drought Frequency Curves for Eastern Palm Beach County
A2	Drought Frequency Curves for Eastern Broward County28
A3	Drought Frequency Curves for Dade County
A4	Drought Frequency Curves for E. Everglades Agricultural Area
A5	Drought Frequency Curves for W. Everglades Agricultural Area
A6	Drought Frequency Curves for the Upper East Coast
A7	Drought Frequency Curves for Fisheating Creek Basin
A8	Drought Frequency Curves for the Upper Kissimmee Lakes Basin
A9	Drought Frequency Curves for the Kissimmee River Basin
A10	Drought Frequency Curves for East and West Caloosahatchee Basins
A11	Drought Frequency Curves for the Lower West Coast/East Collier Basin
A12	Drought Frequency Curves for the Lower West Coast/West Collier Basin
A13	Drought Frequency Curves for the Lower West Coast-Estero Basin39
A14	Drought Frequency Curves for the Lower West Coast, Tidal Caloosahatchee, and North Coastal Basins40

Rainfall Stations42

B1

LIST OF TABLES

Nun	<u>Page</u>
1	Average Monthly Rainfall (Inches) $\dots \dots 4$
2	Long Term Rainfall Pattern5
3	Monthly Rainfall in Inches for June 1980 through February 1982
4	Rainfall Comparisons for Selected Drought Periods
5	Rainfall Comparisons for Selected Drought Periods
6	Inflow to Lake Okeechobee
7	Outflow from Lake Okeechobee from June 1980 Through February 1982 16
8	Evaporation Data16
9	Comparison of Rainfall, Surface Water Inflow and Outflow in WCA-1
10	Comparison of Rainfall, Surface Water Inflow and Outflow in WCA-2A
11	Comparison of Rainfall, Surface Water Inflow and Outflow in WCA-3A
12	Comparison of Inflow to Lake Okeechobee 24
13	Comparison of Outflow from Lake Okeechobee24

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The production of this report was made possible by the existence of a computer data base facility for daily rainfall, stage, and discharge measurements. The engineering technicians of the Water Resources Division have been responsible for maintaining and updating the data base from hundreds of gages throughout the District. Betty McVeigh handled the task of setting up and checking input data and running the Thiessen coefficient program to compute the weighted basin rainfall.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The water level in Lake Okeechobee dropped consistently from 17.50 ft msl on January 1980 to a record low level of 9.75 ft msl on July 29, 1981. This rapid decline in the lake level was caused primarily by an extensive period of below normal rainfall with a coincident reduction of surface water inflow and increasing evapotranspiration (ET). In this report, the meteorological and hydrological data available from June 1, 1980 through February 28, 1982 were analyzed. Based on watershed boundaries, the entire District was divided into 14 basins. Historical drought periods were also selected for comparison. These included the periods of 1955-56, 1961-62, 1967-68, 1970-71, and 1973-74.

The rainfall analysis for each basin was based on the weighted Theissen method for all available long term rain gauges. Their deviation, and their associated frequency were analyzed for the period of June through May, June through October, and November through April for each selected drought period. As a result of these analyses, the 1980-82 drought was found to be generally more severe than the droughts of 1961-62, 1973-74, and the drought of 1970-71, for most basins, with the exception of the Lower East Coast area. The drought return intervals for the Upper Kissimmee Lakes and the Kissimmee River Basins during the drought of 1970-71 ranged from one in eight to one in 15 years, while the 1980-82 drought for the same areas was well over one in 100 years. The drought of 1980-82 in Fisheating Creek, Lake Istokpoga, and the Everglades Agricultural Areas was much more severe than the 1970-71 drought. These comparisons are presented in graphs and tables with detailed discussion in this text.

The hydrologic data analysis concentrated primarily on the major water storage areas within the District. Evapotranspiration, surface water inflow, outflow, and water deliveries were analyzed, and comparisons made with the drought periods of 1970-71 and 1973-74. The major findings of this study are briefly summarized as follows:

Approximately three million acre-feet (AF) of water was consumed during the period June 1980 through February 1982, with more than two-thirds from Lake Okeechobee. Water conservation area storage decreased by about 800,000 AF, with an estimated decline of 36,000 AF in the Upper Kissimmee Lakes.

The surface runoff contribution to Lake Okeechobee from its major tributaries was about 12.7% of normal as a result of a rainfall deficit of over 20 inches in the area from June 1981 through July 1982. The total surface water inflow to Lake Okeechobee during this period was 332,471 AF, and the total outflow (ET not included) was 1,198,050 AF, which was about 360% of the total inflow. In the water conservation areas, total inflow was about 1,070,000 AF, and the total surface water outflow amounted to 574,000 AF. Evapotranspiration and seepage in the water conservation areas accounted for 1,300,000 AF, therefore, about 800,000 AF was lost from storage.

Pan evaporation during the period from June 1980 through July 1981 was 121% of normal at Lake Alfred Experimental Station, and about 107% of normal at Belle Glade Experimental Station.

The significant reduction of inflow to Lake Okeechobee was primarily due to the deficient rainfall over the major tributaries of the lake, especially the Kissimmee River Valley. The 14 month rainfall deficit over the Upper Kissimmee Lakes was approximately 25 inches. Other deficits were 24.7 inches for the Kissimmee River Basin; 27 inches and 25 inches for the West and East Everglades Agricultural Areas, respectively, and 20.4 inches for the Fisheating Creek Basin.

An analysis of the hydrologic cycle indicates that rainfall, evaporation, infiltration, and water withdrawals are competing with each other. For example, evaporation, infiltration, and water demands increase when rainfall decreases. The analysis presented in this report will be helpful in the understanding of the forces that contributed to the severity of the 1980-1982 drought.

I. Introduction

The stage in Lake Okeechobee dropped from 17.50 ft msl in January 1980 to a record low level of 9.75 ft msl as of July 29, 1981, representing a drop of 7.75 feet in a period of 18 months. The immense amount of water lost can be visualized by comparing the volume of water in the lake per foot drop in stage. (A foot of water in the lake is equivalent to the amount of water used in the south Dade area for one year.) The 7.7 foot drop of water in the lake represents a loss of three million acre feet, or one trillion gallons of water. The cause of this rapidly declining lake level can be attributed to the lack of rainfall, the increase in water use in south Florida, and excessive evaporation.

There are five major tributary areas contributing flows to the lake. These tributaries include the following basins: Kissimmee River, Lake Istokpoga, Fisheating Creek, Everglades Agricultural Area, and Taylor Creek/Nubbin Slough. The Kissimmee River Basin receives runoff from the Upper Kissimmee Lakes system. The upper lakes system consists of canals and water control structures linking Lakes Kissimmee, Hatchineha, Cypress, Tohopekaliga, East Tohopekaliga, Hart, Mary Jane, Myrtle, Alligator, and Gentry. In addition, the Kissimmee River receives runoff directly from the valley itself south of Lake Kissimmee. The rainfall received in these major tributary areas has a great impact on the water budget of Lake Okeechobee, affectings its ability to provide water to meet demands in the Everglades Agricultural

Areas, and to provide additional water for the Lower East Coast (Palm Beach, Broward, and Dade Counties), and the Everglades National Park during the peak of the dry season. Lake Okeechobee and the Lower East Coast have been stressed several times in the past, particularly during the periods of 1955-56, 1961-62, 1967-68, 1970-71, and 1973-74, (Figure 1).

In this study, meteorological and hydrological data such as rainfall, evapotranspiration (ET), surface water inflows, outflows, and water demands were investigated. The period of analysis included June 1, 1980 through February 28, 1982 representing a period of below normal rainfall. A comparison with past droughts is also presented in this report.

II. Meteorological Data Analysis

An analysis of the rainfall data, including rainfall patterns and frequencies, is discussed in this section. Comparison between the 1980-82 drought and previous droughts is also presented in this part of the report. Similar comparisons from a hydrologic point of view are illustrated in the next section.

A. Rainfall Analysis

Lake Okeechobee, the second largest fresh water lake in the United States, has a surface area of approximately 697 square miles. The amount of rainfall input to the lake has a direct effect on lake stage. The District was divided into 14 drainage basins, delineated in Figure 2.





FIGURE 1. SELECTED MONTH END STAGE HYDROGRAPHS FOR LAKE OKEECHOBEE.



- 3 -

The rainfall stations located within or around each each basin used are listed in Appendix B. The rainfall stations used in this analysis have at least 20 years of record. Monthly rainfall data from selected stations in each basin were used as input to the weighted Thiesen method to estimate the areal basin rainfall. A total of 180 stations, with approximately 40 overlapping stations, was used in this computation. Weighted rainfall data over Lake Okeechobee was available from the water budget reported by the Army Corps of Engineers. A complete tabulation of monthly averages for each basin within the District is shown in Table 1.

B. Rainfall Pattern

The National Weather Service (NWS) uses a 30-year average which is updated at 10-year intervals as the base average (normal) for each NWS rainfall station. Since this analysis deals with regional conditions, the entire period of record was used in the computation of long term average, or normal, for each rainfall station (Table 1). In order to evaluate the trend of rainfall patterns in each basin, the moving averages of 30, 20, and 10 years were computed. The results of this analysis are shown in Table 2, indicating that the occurrence of dry periods

are basically the same in all District areas except the Upper East Coast and the East Collier Basin of the Lower West Coast area. Table 2 also shows that the long term weather trend was toward the dry side for most of the basins within the District. It can be speculated that the lack of "normal" hurricane activity during the last 10-20 years contributed to the rainfall deficit. Rainfall analysis over the Kissimmee River Basin indicated that the Basin had received an excess of 1.74 inches of rainfall per year from tropical depressions and hurricanes prior to 19641. Since 1964 there were only two tropical storm events, and the average annual rainfall contribution from these storm events decreased to 0.54 inches per year; therefore, the lack of hurricanes and tropical storms in the last 10-20 years has had a certain relation with the decline in annual rainfall.

Figure 3 shows the 30, 20, and 10-year rainfall moving averages beginning in 1915 through 1981 for the Kissimmee River Valley Basin. The long term variation dampened out as longer periods of moving averages were used; however,

TABLE 1. AVERAGE MONTHLY RAINFALL (INCHES)

<u>Area</u>	<u>Jan.</u>	Feb.	Mar.	$\underline{\mathbf{Apr}}$	May	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Total
E. Palm Beach Co.	2.69	2.53	3.11	3.39	5.05	7.76	6.13	6.37	8.31	8.02	3.17	2.28	58.81
E. Broward County	2.40	2.01	2.76	3.44	5.84	7.95	6.31	6.86	8.32	8.93	3.21	2.25	60.28
Dade County	1.92	1.79	2.04	3.12	6.64	8.80	7.14	7.37	8.96	7.91	2.13	1.44	59.26
E Everglades Ag Area	1.80	1.82	2.79	2.76	5.04	8.80	7.81	7.68	8.18	4.78	1.84	1.78	55.08
W Everglades Ag Area	1.62	1.71	2.46	2.48	4.97	8.00	7.30	6.85	7.06	4.32	1.53	1.53	49.83
Upper East CoastBasin	2.33	2.31	2.76	3.02	4.39	6.44	6.16	5.54	7.65	6.70	2.55	1.91	51.76
Fisheating Creek	1.68	2.07	2.76	2.58	4.19	7.68	7.11	6.74	6.94	3.74	1.42	1.50	48.41
Upper Kissimmee Lakes	2.18	2.69	3.19	2.68	4.16	7.38	7.66	6.97	6.56	3.25	1.65	2.02	50.39
Kissimmee River	2.04	2.44	2.60	2.69	4.23	7.76	8.01	7.18	7.05	3.98	1.60	1.65	51.23
E&W Caloosahatchee													
Basin	1.72	2.04	2.61	2.59	4.68	8.40	7.56	7.08	7.60	3.93	1.03	1.38	50.62
East Collier Basin	1.61	1.69	2.38	2.02	5.07	9.49	8.29	7.51	8.94	4.03	1.44	1.28	53.75
West Collier Basin	1.74	1.68	2.33	1.97	4.73	9.09	8.34	7.95	9.15	3.98	1.37	1.34	53.67
Estero Basin	1.79	2.07	2.40	2.06	4.12	9.42	8.74	8.52	8.13	4.16	1.37	1.49	54.27
Tidal Caloosahatchee													
& North Coastal Area	1.86	2.08	2.35	2.06	3.93	8.79	8.24	8.12	8.08	3.99	1.44	1.54	52.48
District Average	1.96	2.07	2.61	2.63	4.79	8.27	7.39	7.20	7.92	5.34	1.84	1.67	53.57

¹ N. Khanal, "Kissimmee River Basin Rainfall Analysis 4/19/81" South Florida Water Management District.

the cyclical characteristics became more obvious for the 10-year moving average.

While the National Weather Service uses the 30-year average as normal, it is suggested that for many water management purposes, especially those involving long term projections, an average of the total period of record is a better measure for the normal.

In addition to annual variations, rainfall in central and southern Florida shows a seasonal pattern with dry winters and wet summers. About 70% of the annual rainfall occurs in the wet season (May through October), some basins receive over 75% of the annual rainfall during these months. The annual average rainfall ranges from 48.41 inches to 60.28 inches

overall average for the District area is 53.57 inches; the monthly average for the overall District ranges from 1.67 inches in December to 8.27 inches in June. The monthly average wet season rainfall varies within the District basins. It ranges from 3.93 inches in May in the tidal Caloosahatchee basin to 9.49 inches in June in the west Collier basin. Likewise during the dry season it ranges from 1.03 inches to 3.00 inches per month. A complete tabulation of monthly averages for each basin within the District is shown in Table 1.

C. Drought Frequency Analysis

There is an absence of a precise and universally accepted definition of drought which adds to the

TABLE 2. LONG TERM RAINFALL PATTERN

n : 1	Year of Moving		Highest Deviation			<u>Lowest</u> Deviation		Long Term
Basin and Record Period Used	Avg.	<u>Value</u>	Inches	<u>Period</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>Inches</u>	<u>Period</u>	Trend
Kissimmee River Basin (1915-1981)	30 20 10	52.53 52.64 54.50	+1.30 +1.41 +3.27	1919-48 1918-37 1951-60	48.52 46.22 44.32	-2.72 -5.02 -6.93	1952-81 1962-81 1972-81	Down
Upper Kissimmee Lakes (1915-1981)	30 20 10	52.66 53.74 54.83	+ 2.27 + 3.35 + 4.44	1931-60 1941-60 1951-60	49.71 48.24 47.65	-0.42 -2.13 -2.74	1952-81 1962-81 1972-81	Inconclusive
Fisheating Creek & Istokpoga (1932-1981)	30 20 10	50.31 51.75 55.20	+0.86 +2.37 +6.52	1945-74 1950-69 1951-60	48.15 45.36 44.45	-1.30 -4.02 -7.23	1952-81 1962-81 1972-81	Down
Upper East Coast (1914-1981)	30 20 10	52.47 53.15 54.14	+1.11 $+1.68$ $+2.73$	1950-79 1952-71 1951-60	49.69 48.93 47.00	-1.67 -2.54 -4.36	1917-46 1916-35 1916-25	Up
East Collier Basin (1927-1981)	30 20 10	54.51 57.14 59.03	+ 1.74 + 4.25 + 5.57	1952-81 1957-76 1967-76	51.14 49.13 46.49	-1.63 -3.76 -6.97	1938-67 1937-56 1942-51	Up
Dade County (1927-1981)	30 20 10	60.88 62.18 63.90	$+1.66 \\ +2.81 \\ +4.50$	1931-60 1929-48 1932-41	56.91 56.46 51.03	-2.31 -2.91 -8.37	1952-81 1962-81 1972-81	Down
East Everglades Agricultural Area (1929-1981)	30 20 10	56.79 57.54 57.45	+2.30	1931-60 1941-60 1951-60	53.24 51.05 48.33	-2.17 -4.19 -6.64	1952-81 1962-81 1972-81	
West Everglades Agricultural Area (1929-1981)	30 20 10	53.69 54.54 57.45	+2.07	1930-59 1947-66 1951-60	50.27 47.14 42.59	-2.38 -5.33 -9.30	1952-81 1962-81 1972-81	l




FIGURE 3. KISSIMMEE RIVER BASIN - MOVING AVERAGE RAINFALL (1915 - 1982).

confusion about whether or not a drought exists, and if it does, its severity. For the purpose of this report, drought was defined as a shortage of precipitation sufficient to adversely affect water demands. Droughts differ in three essential characteristics, intensity, duration, and spatial coverage. The statistical evaluation of the drought was performed using the log Pearson Type III distribution (Appendix A) in the smallest amount of rainfall that had occurred in a specific period or duration within a water year (November through October). A similar analysis based on rainfall deficits produced similar results.

D. Results and Discussions

Table 3 shows the monthly rainfall of each basin for the period June 1980 through February 1982. Table 5A shows the comparison of 12 months rainfall from June 1980 through May 1981 for the selected drought periods, as well as the percent of normal and associated drought frequency for the 14 basins. Table 5B shows the comparisons of the wet season rainfall (June through October) for those selected drought periods. Table 5C shows the comparisons of the dry season rainfall (November through April) for those selected drought periods; May was considered a transition month. Table 4 presents the comparisons of 21 months; i.e., June 1980 through February 1982, for those selected drought periods with indications of the percent of their normal, and amount of total deviation from normal. For purposes of comparison, a period of 21 months was chosen for the other drought periods, although the rainfall deficiency period was considerably less.

1. Drought Period: June 1980 - February 1982

a. The total rainfall in this 21 month period over the entire District ranged from 66.3% of normal in the West Everglades Agricultural Area to 87.9% of normal in the Estero Basin, with a District average of approximately 76.1% of normal.

The total rainfall deficit over the entire District area was 23 inches for the 21 month period. For the Everglades Agricultural Area, the Upper Kissimmee Lakes, and the East Collier Basins, the deficit was in the order of 30 inches.

For the 12 month period (June 1980 -May 1981, Table 5A), the West Everglades Agricultural Area received 29 inches, which was the least among the District basins. The Upper Kissimmee Lakes and the Kissimmee River Basins received 31.4 and 32.95 inches, respect-ively and the East Collier Basin received 32.67 inches. The return intervals were well over a 1 in 100 year event, expressed in drought return frequency, The western Everglades Agricultural Area and the Upper East Coast Basins were next in severity with return intervals of over 100 and 33 years, respectively. For the coastal areas, such as the Lower East Coast and Estero Bay of the Lower West Coast, the drought return intervals ranged from 5 to 17 years.

TABLE 3. MONTHLY RAINFALL IN INCHES FOR JUNE 1980 THROUGH FEBRUARY 1982

Feb	3.46 2.24 1.84 2.19 2.19 1.77 1.37 2.34 1.91 1.60 2.86 3.42	2.13
Jan	1.11 0.65 0.57 0.75 0.44 0.89 0.60 0.60 0.82 0.50 0.89	0.49
Dec	1.17 0.24 0.43 0.18 0.06 0.28 2.25 0.41 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.27	0.10
Nov	3.59 3.44 2.52 3.86 3.58 3.59 1.41 1.04 1.04 1.67 1.06 1.06 1.06 1.06 1.06	1.13
Oct	2.54 2.89 2.70 0.39 0.49 0.46 1.08 1.02 1.60 0.15 0.17 0.13	0.71
Sep	7.7.7 14.91 4.94 4.07 8.05 8.05 4.82 4.90 5.75 8.44 4.82 3.40 3.40 3.40 3.40 3.40 5.75 6.65 8.65 8.65 8.65 8.65 8.65 8.65 8.6	3.70
Aug	14.20 14.83 13.48 14.84 10.34 12.65 7.50 8.22 7.55 11.82 11.11 10.22 14.75	9.35
Jul	5.18 6.05 3.35 4.83 5.42 5.42 6.73 6.73 6.73 6.74	4.37
Jun	4.63 5.12 4.84 4.07 5.58 3.56 4.29 4.88 5.50 6.78 5.00 9.08	3.03
Мау	5.70 6.13 3.62 3.86 2.38 3.25 3.33 2.77 1.74 2.65 1.46 1.69 5.82 3.97	2.78
Apr	0.28 0.19 0.07 0.19 0.11 0.40 0.15 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26	0.17
Mar	1.63 0.89 1.32 1.31 1.31 1.01 0.79 1.26 1.54 1.54 1.53 1.53	1.18
Feb	3.56 4.05 3.93 2.10 2.35 2.23 2.15 3.00 2.21 2.23 1.73 1.73 1.66	1.58
<u>Jan</u>	0.51 0.61 0.61 0.57 0.59 0.59 0.38 0.71 0.56 0.82 0.82	0.79
Dec	1.54 1.14 0.94 0.80 0.81 1.43 0.69 1.31 0.69 0.76 0.76 0.74 0.74	99.0
Nov	3.46 4.08 2.49 2.05 2.05 2.14 4.19 3.42 3.29 3.29 3.29	2.14
Oct	3.53 5.50 1.76 1.44 1.44 1.50 1.50 1.37 0.64 0.78 2.25 2.25	1.12
æ	66.8.7.8.4.4.8.9.9.7.8.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9	5.48
Aug	5.09 4.68 4.50 6.44 4.68 4.96 4.30 6.96 6.96 6.97	60.9
Jul	8.53 6.63 6.63 6.76 7.73 7.43 8.25 6.08 8.25 6.82 7.36 7.36	5.03
dun	5.24 6.62 3.91 2.97 4.02 4.02 2.20 4.02 2.30 3.93 3.93 3.93	3.38
	East Palm Beach East Broward Dade County E.Everglades Ag Area W.Everglades Ag Area Upper E.Coast Basin Fisheating Creek Upper Kissimmee Lakes Kissimmee River E. & W. Caloos. Basin E. Collier Basin W. Collier Basin Bistero Tidal & N.Coast Area	Lake Okeechobee

TABLE 4. RAINFALL COMPARISONS FOR SELECTED DROUGHT PERIODS (21 Months)

82 ficit thes	16.42 22.90 16.64 30.21 27.51 27.51 27.51 21.84 30.54 32.11 19.95 12.07 16.49	
3-Feb.19 of Del Norm Inc	84.52 78.90 74.17 66.34 76.96 68.48 73.80 67.14 75.39 67.12 87.93 82.93	
June 1980-Feb. 1982 % of Deficit Inches Norm Inches	89.65 85.62 92.08 73.85 71.84 61.53 62.40 68.88 65.92 78.36 87.89	
June 1973-Feb.1975 % of Deficit Inches Norm Inches	0 18.46 19.57 13.02 10.78 0.66 1.92 0 9.79 0 0 0	
1973-Fel % of Norm	100.21 82.99 81.66 86.92 87.99 97.80 101.90 89.47 100.48 1113.25 104.55 1118.96 105.71	
June 1 Inches	106.29 90.06 87.15 86.55 78.97 92.69 85.37 84.95 83.15 91.11 102.78 118.91	
June 1970-Feb. 1972 % of Deficit Inches Norm Inches	21.56 36.46 29.06 14.96 7.64 0 4.95 0 12.37 13.77 8.10 0 0.81 0 11.22	
1970-Fe % of Norm	79.67 66.40 72.77 84.50 91.49 100.88 94.33 105.64 86.69 86.69 84.93 99.18 101.55 88.39	
June	84.51 72.06 77.66 84.61 82.11 94.17 82.34 88.07 77.59 89.93 97.50 101.51 85.40	
. 1969 Deficit Inches	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ı
June 1967-Feb.1969 % of Deficit Inches Norm Inches	109.42 111.95 126.66 100.74 115.89 105.84 112.56 96.54 105.77 114.45 106.34 117.80	}
June 1 Inches	116.06 121.49 137.71 100.31 104.01 92.39 93.84 85.72 96.63 112.20 104.54 117.75	
eb. 1963 Deficit n Inches	29.53 28.12 28.88 13.88 3.58 118.68 5.56 6.05 11.08 11.27 0.60	
961-F % of Nor	72.16 72.16 73.44 85.06 96.01 79.99 89.47 89.56 88.54 99.40	
June 1 Inches	76.54 80.40 79.84 85.69 86.17 74.69 76.89 87.80 87.04 99.36	01.00
55-Feb. 1957 % of Deficit Norm Inches	19.32 31.72 19.13 12.95 4.43 14.66 11.80 2.37 12.78 16.23 16.23 16.23 16.23 16.23	10.03
ങ്	81.79 70.77 82.07 86.99 95.06 84.30 86.48 86.25 82.23 83.44 88.57 79.94	99.04
June 1	86.75 76.80 87.59 86.62 86.32 78.69 75.49 81.00 80.16 75.13 81.80 87.07	81.44
	E. Palm Beach County Dade County Dade County E. Everglades Ag Area W. Everglades Ag Area Upper E Coast Basin Fisheating Creek Upper Kissimmee Lakes Kissimmee River E&W Caloos. Basin E. Collier Basin W. Collier Basin W. Collier Basin	District Average

TABLE 5. RAINFALL COMPARISONS FOR SELECTED DROUGHT PERIODS

1881	freq.	7.1 17.0 6.0 6.0 7.3 33.3 28.6 2222.0 2222.0 34.0 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 11.8	4.8 16.7 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12	25.1 1.63 1.65 1.67 1.77 1.75 1.83 1.83 1.84 1.85 1.85 1.85 1.85 1.85 1.85 1.85 1.85
June 1980-May 1981	% of f	78.2 70.5 880.0 680.0 67.9 67.9 68.5 68.5 68.1 70.0 70.0	80.13 66.04 66.04 72.14 69.18 67.26 67.26 67.26 67.26 67.26 77.3 70.47	63.77 663.77 66.79 66.79 55.79 77.95 77.95 77.95 76.61 78.83 78.69 66.11 88.99
June 1	Inches N	46.00 47.53 47.44 87.48 87.18 83.118 83.118 83.140 83.140 84.567 84.567 85.67 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	29.32 25.34 20.35	10.98 11.06 12.06 12.08 7.98 8.45 8.45 8.32 7.88 7.89 7.89
974	Freq.	2.24.001 0.002.88.00 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.80 0.003.	4.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00	1.8 2.5 20.0 5.0 15.4 5.7 2.5 31.3 5.3 7.4 5.6 2.3.3
3-May 1	% of Normal	03.69 87.32 86.08 86.08 76.4 94.4 86.7 86.3 86.3 89.9 119.9 119.9 109.5 92.8	109.76 91.61 96.12 88.99 88.99 113.45 96.57 88.99 112.69 1122.19 1122.19 1166.13	100.76 86.06 86.06 83.38 86.25 86.25 86.25 86.25 87.64 37.64 37.64 37.64 37.64 37.73 38.83 38.83
June 1973-May 197	Inches	60.98 52.64 64.64 64.64 65.25	20.11 20.11	17.39 17.383 17.83 6.83 8.95 11.20 11.20 6.53 6.46 6.46
1971	Freq.	25.0 170.0 170.0 185.7 185.7 185.0 175.0 1	6.7 17.5 17.5 17.5 17.5 17.5 17.5 18.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19	125.0 360.0 500.0 62.5 96.5 154.0 8.7 15.2 96.9 96.9 15.2 97.17
June 1970-May 197	% of ormal	63.51 57.21 69.55 69.22 80.422 70.63 71.66 71.69 71.69 71.69 71.69 71.69 71.69 71.69 71.69 71.69 71.69 71.69	76.25 72.53 72.65 79.84 719.89 719.89 80.78 80.78 82.66 82.66 82.66 82.66 86.99 86.98 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	27.84 1886 319.53 319.53 31.67 22.07 26.92 26.92 26.92 49.07 4.75
June 19	% of InchesNormal	30.35 34.49 38.78 38.79 38.79 34.19 41.15 35.75 40.66 40.66 40.66 41.34 39.47	27.90 27.83 29.19 29.76 29.77 29.75 27.53 27.53 28.54 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.58	4.8.4.4.4.4.4.4.6.0.1.0.6.0.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4
8961	Freq.	22.1.12.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2		9.5 4.5 2.7 3.7 15.4 10.0 11.1 6.3 8.2 8.2 9.4 9.2 62.60
67-May	% of ormal	98.13 117.42 123.49 92.03 115.25 105.34 96.34 93.87 89.69 107.40 93.53 1111.45 108.50	102.0 116.8 113.07 89.45 89.45 117.95 1102.73 107.78 106.20 96.03 107.78 114.99 114.99	52.24 68.26 68.35 68.35 75.43 50.59 50.59 71.05 68.49 8.00
June 1967-May 1968	% of InchesNormal	70.78 70.78 70.78 70.78 70.78 70.78 70.78 70.20 70.20 70.20 70.20 70.20 70.20 70.20 70.20 70.20 70.20 70.20	37.33 9.35 9.35 9.35 9.35 9.35 9.35 9.35 9	8.97 10.97 10.97 10.97 10.97 8.74 6.81 6.81 7.29 8.56 7.70 7.70
1962	Freq. Years	833.3 200.0	45.5 35.7 100.0 26.0 26.0 9.1 57.0 62.5 105.0 105.0	70.04.44.69.44.6.4.76.00.60.60.60.60.60.60.60.60.60.60.60.60
أحذ	% of ormal	60.0 61.0 62.0 62.0 66.0 66.0 66.0 66.0 66.0 66	6199 6194 6194 6414 6414 6414 6417 6417 6417 6417 641	62.3 65.8 66.4 66.4 66.4 60.4 60.4 62.6 83.7 83.7 83.7 84.5 84.6 62.6 62.6
June 1961-May	% of InchesNormal	35.15 38.66 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	21.93 25.35 25.35 25.32 25.32 20.75 20.75 20.29 21.26 21.26 21.26 21.26 21.26 21.26 21.26 21.26	0.69 9.59 9.59 10.252 10.252 9.953 6.30 7.555 7.555 7.555
926	Freq.	2.4.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.	7.1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	8.7.4.4.6.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0
55-May	% of ormal	68.0 70.0 70.0 84.0 83.0 73.0 74.0 82.0 82.0 82.0 84.0 81.0	70.2 74.5 74.5 74.5 110.6 81.8 81.8 98.1 76.4 103.3 86.0 86.0	24 54.5 56.3.5 57.7 57.7 66.0 66.0 66.0 66.0 66.0 66.0 66.0 6
June 1955-May 1956	HS % of Inches Normal	40.14 42.30 50.01 45.69 46.10 40.23 36.93 37.77 41.47 44.25 56.72 43.59	25.70 28.57 30.98 30.98 37.10 26.58 26.58 26.28 26.28 39.78 39.78 39.78 39.78	SON ONI 9.34 9.34 9.34 8.79 8.79 17.78 6.18 6.18 9.31 6.55 6.55
	SECTION A. 12 MONTHS	E. Palm Beach County E. Broward County Dade County Dade County E. Everglades Ag Area W. Everglades Ag Area W. Everglades Ag Area W. Everglades Ag Area G. Dipper E. Coust Basin Fisheating Creek Upper Kissimmee Lakes Kissimmee River E&W Caloos. Basin E. Collier Basin W. Collier Basin Fidal & N. Coast Area 43.27 District Average 43.59	E. Palm Beach County E. Broward County Dade County Dade County E. Everglades Ag Area W.Everglades Ag Area Upper E. Coust Basin Fisheating Creek Upper Kissimmee Lakes Kissimmee River E&W Callier Basin E. Collier Basin W. Collier Basin Estero Tidal & N Coast Area District Average	E. Palm Beach County E. Broward County E. Broward County B. Everglades Ag Area W. Everglades Ag Area Upper E. Coast Basin Fisheating Creek Upper Kissimmee Lakes Kissimmee River E. & W. Callier Basin W. Collier Basin Estero District Average

- c. From June 1 through October 31, 1980 (wet season) the Upper Kissimmee Lake Basin received only 18.22 inches of rainfall, which is 57.3% of normal. The next most severe drought areas were the Kissimmee River Basin and the East Collier Basin which received nearly 60% of normal rainfall (Table 5B). The drought was in the order of 20 to 40 years return interval for the eastern basin of the Everglades Agricul-tural Area, the third most severe area. The entire District received approximately 70% of normal.
- d. For the dry season (Nov. 1, 1980 through April 30, 1981), the rainfall amounts in the District basins ranged from 55.3% of normal in the Fisheating Creek Basin to 96.7% of normal in Dade County (Table 5-C). The Kissimmee Lakes and the Kissimmee River Basins received about 70% and 78% of normal, respectively, or approximately a one in four year drought.

2. Drought Period: June 1970 - February 1972

- a. East Palm Beach, Broward, and Dade Counties, of the Lower East Coast area, experienced the greatest drought severity with rainfall deficits of 21.5, 36.5, and 29.1 inches in these 21 months. The eastern basin of the Everglades Agricultural Area, plus a portion of the Lower West Coast, were the next in drought severity with about 15 inches of rainfall deficit (Table 4). The Kissimmee River and Upper Kissimmee Lakes Basin were in the order of 86% to 106% of normal (or 12.37 inches and 0 inch rainfall deficit).
- b. For the wet season, (June 1, 1970 through October 31, 1970) the rainfall average ranged from 72.7% of normal in Dade County to 106% in the Estero Basin. The Kissimmee Basins received about 81% of normal. The overall District areas received about 84% of normal (Table 5-B).
- c. For the dry season, the Lower East Coast received the least amount of rainfall with a return interval of more than 100 years frequency. The entire District area received approximately 37.17% of normal, or about half of the rainfall received during the dry season of 1980-81 and 1961-62. The drought of 1970-71 was mostly limited to the Lower East Coast and a portion of the Everglades Agricultural Area, and it

was primarily due to far below normal rainfall during the dry season, combined with a below normal rainfall in the preceding wet season. (Table 5-C).

3. Drought Period: June 1961 - February 1963


This drought period had very similar characteristics to the 1980-82 drought, but was slightly less in magnitude of rainfall deficit. The 21 months rainfall deficit was less than the 1980-82 drought in most District areas with the exception of the Lower East Coast. The rainfall amount from June 1961 through October 1961 ranged from 58% to 81% of normal. The Upper Kissimmee Lakes and the Kissimmee River Basins received about 65% of normal, which is slightly more than the 1980-82 drought for the same period. For the dry season rain-fall, the 1961-62 drought was pretty much the same as the 1980-82 drought; however, the first 12 months rainfall deficit was worse than the 1980-82 drought in several basins such as Lake Okeechobee, Dade County, and West Collier. The lake stage during this first 12 month period was lower than the first 12 months of 1980-82 (Figure 1). The recovery of the water level in Lake Okeechobee and the 21 month rainfall deficit indicated that this drought period was much shorter than the 1980-82 drought.


4. <u>Drought Periods of 1955-56, 1967-68, 1973-</u> 74, etc.


The stage hydrograph shown in Figure 1 indicates that the stage in Lake Okeechobee reached a value of 10.31 ft msl during the drought period of 1955-56. This is the third lowest stage ever recorded. A comparison of rainfall deficit shown in Tables 5A and 5B indicates that this drought period was less severe than the drought periods of 1961-62, 1970-71, and 1980-82. The far below normal rainfall during the wet seasons of 1955 and 1956, and the lower stage (13.45 ft msl) at the beginning of the wet season (due to the lower regulation schedule) contributed to the lower lake stage during the 1955-56 drought period. The drought periods of 1967-68 and 1973-74 were caused by below normal rainfall during their dry seasons. The rainfall deficit, however, was much less for the 1967-68 and 1973-74 periods than for the 1961-62, 1970-71, and the 1980-82 periods.


E. Summary


Figures 4 through 11 are plots of accumulated rainfall from June 1980 through March













90 **NORMAL** 80 1955 - 57 70 1961 - 63 60 1970 - 72 50 1980 - 82 - . . 40 30 20 10 0 J A S O N D J F M A J J A S O N D F M A M J FIGURE 9. EEAA ACCUMULATED RAINFALL (inches)





1982 versus selected drought periods at various basins within the District. As a result of these comparisons, the 1980-82 drought was generally more severe in most of the basins than the droughts of 1961-62 and 1970-71, with the exception of the Lower East Coast area. The drought return intervals for the Upper Kissimmee Lakes and the Kissimmee River Basins during 1970-71 ranged from 18 to 15 years, while the 1980-82 drought for the same areas was well over one in 100 years. The 1980-82 drought for Fisheating Creek and Everglades Agricultural Areas was more severe than for the period 1970-71. As mentioned previously, the characteristics of the 1980-82 drought were quite different from the drought of 1970-71. The 1980-82 drought was triggered by a severe rainfall deficiency during the 1980 and 1981 wet seasons while the 1970-71 drought was primarily due to a lack of rainfall during the 1971 dry season compounded with a below normal rainfall in the wet seeason of 1970. The rainfall amount from June through October 1970 ranged from 73% to 105% of normal as compared to 58% to 84% of normal in 1980. For example, the rainfall amount in June through October 1980 for the Upper Kissimmee River Basin was 62% of normal. The western and eastern basins of the Everglades Agricultural Areas received 19.54 and 25.96 inches, representing a return interval of 20 to 40 years. These areas had drought severity higher than for the same period in 1970-71. The lack of normal rainfall during the wet seasons of 1980 and 1981 was the main reason why the lake stage dropped to its record low value of 9.75 ft.

III. Hydrologic Data Analysis

In this part of the report, hydrologic parameters other than rainfall were analyzed. The analysis focused on the major water storage areas within the District. The results of this in-depth hydrologic data analysis provides substantial insight into the hydrologic variables which influence the levels in the lakes and other water storage areas which provide water supplies for agricultural and urban uses. The drought period used in this hydrologic analysis was the same as the period used in the rainfall analysis, i.e. June 1, 1980 through February 28, 1982.

A. <u>Historical Inflows to Lake Okeechobee</u>

As discussed previously, the rainfall generated runoff in the major tributary areas of Lake Okeechobee had a significant impact on the water budget of the lake. Therefore, as a first step in performing a hydrologic analysis of the lake, all inflows to Lake Okeechobee (from its tributaries) based on historical data, were anal-

yzed. The percentage of contribution to the lake was then computed (see Section D).

B. Analysis of Surface Water Flow and Storage

The stage readings at the beginning of each month were analyzed for each major water storage area. The stage readings for Lake Okeechobee and the Water Conservation Areas are based on either the water budget reports prepared by the Army Corps of Engineers or the U.S.G.S. published data. In a few cases, the District daily stage readings were used when the stage in the Water Conservation Areas was so low that the indicator gauges no longer adequately reflected the actual water stages of the areas. Then some adjacent canal stages were averaged to represent the water stage of the areas. The water storage was computed based on the stage-storage curves developed for these water storage areas. The storage change was computed from the difference between the stage reading at the beginning and end of each month. This storage information was then used to evaluate the relationship between rainfall deficit and the lake storage change during a selected drought period.

Table 6 summarizes the surface water runoff contribution to Lake Okeechobee during this selected drought period at each inflow point around the lake. There were several structures without flow for several months.

Table 7 summarizes the amount of water released and withdrawn from Lake Okeechobee during this period. Almost all the flow out of the lake during this period were water supply demand releases, rather than regulatory releases for flood control. Water is generally released from Lake Okeechobee to West Palm Beach, Hillsboro, North New River, and Miami Canals through the Hurricane Gate Structures (HGS) 5, 4, and 3. The water is delivered through these canals to the Water Conservation Areas and the Lower East Coast recharge areas. Most of the water is used to meet agricultural demands in the Everglades Agricultural Areas. These deliveries are frequent during drought periods. The increase of water deliveries through these structures and canals provides some indication of the water demands during a selected period; however, the water use restrictions imposed by the District during the 1980-81 drought period may not have reflected the actual crop demands.

C. Analysis of Evapotranspiration

Evapotranspiration (ET) is one of the major parameters in the hydrologic budget of the storage areas. ET, infiltration (seepage), and

TABLE 6. INFLOW TO LAKE OKEECHOBEE

Feb.	0	0	0	0	0	0	105	105	17,817	9.0		0	206	၁	1,550	1,756	83,420	2.4		2,020	0	0	8,724	0	0	0	10,744	8,031	114.6	,	1,974	•	1,896	3,870	3,249	119.1		0
Jan.	0	0	0	0	0	0	81	81	26,483	0.3		0	192	0	1,060			1.6		0	0	0	164	0	0			13,049	 	i (1,315	-	440	1,755	4,358	40.3		0
Dec.	0	0	0	0	0	0	7.7			0.7		0	22	0				3.9		0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0.0	,	1,381	0	0	1,381	3,149	43.9		0
Nov.	0	0	0	0	0	0	482	482	17,209	2.8		0	338	0	1,240			3.0		0	0	17,899	33,898	C	0	0	51,797	18,291	283.2	,	1,111	O	0	1,111	6,529	17.0		0
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,381	4,381	60,628	7.2		170	1,378	0	2,580		တ	4.8		5,780				0	0				17.2	1	1,567	0	202	2,072	11,051	18.7		0
Sep.	1.805	0	829	0	8,527	0	24,482	35,643	080'96	37.1		2,210	30,360	0	51,060		_	30.9		35,988	0	50,148	83,778	0	0	5,750	175,674	123,449	142.3		6,351	0	1,526	7,877	12,230	64.4		44,847
Aug.	1.202	0	1,352	0	4,488	0	305	7,347	79,723	9,2		1,640	1,330	0	45,230		_	30.4		11,952	0	57,089	108,014	6,170	0				364.3		4,314	0	7,726	12,040	9,290	129.6		26,914
(;) <u>July</u>	C	$\frac{1}{2}$	77.1	0	4,919	0	0	5,906	64,869	9.1		0	20	0	1,472	1,522	129,330	1.2		294	0	522	0	0	0			63,179	7.9	,	530	0	1,326	1,856	8,705	21.3		3,398
JUNE 1980 THROUGH FEBRUARY 1982 (AC.FT.) <u>Jan. Feb. Mar. April May June</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49,513	0.0		0	40	0	179	219	73,192	0.3		800	0	5,179	0	0	0	9,584	15,563	69,129	22.5		444	0	0	444	9,048	4.9		0
ку 198; Мау	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0.0		0	129	0	387	516	63,526	9.0		0	0	0	1,232	4,979	0	3,194			26.3		520	0	1,337	1,857	6,618	28.1		0
EBRUA <u>April</u>	106	0	0	0	0	0	4	110	12,994	8.0		0	210	0	0	210	65,367	0,3		0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0.0		559	0	0	559	1,070	52.2		0
OUGH P	c	0	0	0	0	0	244	244	37,685	0.7		0	2,170	0	1,000		802,66	3.2		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18,485	0.0		791	0	380	1,181	4,395	26.9		0
80 THR Feb.	c	0	0	0	629	0	1,144	1,803	17,817	10.1		51	5,811	0	3,140	9,003	83,420	10.8		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,831	0.0		964	0	0	964	3.249	29.7		0
UNE 19 <u>Jan.</u>	C	0	0	0	0	0	194	194	26,483	0.8		0	3,796	0	1,614	5,410		7.0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13,049	0.0		738	0	126	864	4.358	19.8		0
FROM J <u>Dec.</u>	c	450	0	0	0	0	458	458	12,054			0	5,796	0	4,560	10,356	25,793	40.3		0	<u></u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	14,105	0.0		770	339	421	1,530	3,149	48.6		0
Nov	¢	0	0	87	0	0	744	1,281		7.4		92	5,236	641	4,120		40,087	25.17		0	565	0	0	0	0	0	565	18,291	3.1		397	0	268	665	6.529	10.2		0
Oct	•	1.688	0	0	0	173	2,977	3,150		5.2		0	3,915	1,577	2,270			6.4		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		33,632	0.0		674	631	0	1.305	11.051	11.8		0
Sept.	190	889	119	2,698	3.348	601	10,607	19,181	96,080	19.9		1,281	29,729	3,775	15,450	50,235				1,319	3,995	327	6.19	0	0	22,383	34,143	123,449	27.7		3,681	5,645	0	9.326	12,230	76.3		Э
Aug.	tasin 0	1 049	424	1.567	3,769	260	3.869	10,778	79.723	13.8		396	22,070	2,287	800	25,553				0	2,771	470	0	0	0	7.886	11,107		17.9		520	357	0	877	066.6	9.4	;	0
ZINF .	tokpoga t	909 1 073	1,073	1.224	7.506	369	1.894	13,468	64,869	20.8	ins	941	15,322	2,993	5,100	24,356			ral Areas	0	2.148	0	0	0	0	9,465	11,613	63,179	18.4		298	2,013	0	2311	2,07 x	26.5		0
June	reek & ls	>	25	974	232	139		21	49.513	5.1	iver Bas	0	4,655	480	560	5.695			griculta	C	904	0	0	0	0	3.325	4.429	69,129	6.4	oast	0	331	0	25.7	0 0 48	6,0 7. 8.	<u>.</u>	nee Kiver 0
	Fisheating Creek & Istokpoga Basin	704	5.73	S-129	27.2	8.33	Fisheating Cr.	Total	яl	lum.	Kissimmee River Basins	S-154	S-65E	S-133	S-191	Total	al	rmal	Everglades Agricultural Areas	C-10A	4.8	S-3 HGS-3	S-2 HGS-4	HGS-5	HGS-2	Ag. Pump	Total		mal	Upper East Coast	\$-153	\$-135	Local	Total	Normal	Sout Normal		Caloosahatchee River 0

TABLE 7. OUTFLOW FROM LAKE OKEECHOBEE FROM JUNE 1980 THROUGH FEBRUARY 1982 (AC. FT.)

Feb.	0 0 0 1,599 2,941 5,068 0 0 43,659	986 38,822 2.5	0 0 0 16,989 0.0		Feb.	0 3.31 3.64 3.64 3.35
Jan.	0 0 0 7,411 6,304 6,111 0 45,255 4	7,666 37,153 3 20.6	3,566 0 3,566 14,193 1 25.1		Jan.	3.58 3.84 2.99 3.00 3.00 2.67
Dec.	0 0 0 9,402 16,196 12,737 56,075 48,863 127.8	8,642 18,161 3 47.6	6,828 0 6,828 2,811 1 242.9		Dec.	4.00 3.28 2.97 3.13 3.13 2.60
Nov	0 0 0 0 4,043 3,510 1,5,931 15,931 34.6	5,088 25,333 1 20.1	3,200 0 3,200 23,766 13.5		Nov.	4.05 4.30 3.69 3.23 3.23 2.94
Oct.	0 21,054 0 6,211 8,387 6,011 40,663 130,706	7,295 33,245 21.9	0 0 0 25,533 1		Oct.	5.21 6.02 5.09 4.49 4.49 4.38
Sept.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 9,072 ; 0.0	0 0 0 11,079 0.0		Sept.	5.42 6.54 4.64 5.32 5.32 5.32
Aug	878 0 0 1,814 1,145 1,809 5,449 16,845 32.3	120 69,291 0.2	0 0 0 57,946 0.0		Aug.	5.40 7.56 3.67 5.55 5.55 5.07
Inly	1,628 12,424 0 15,007 23,543 11,394 63,991 15,228	5,141 40,635 12.7	2,000 738 2,738 47,319 (July	7.52 8.94 6.81 5.13 5.13 5.13
June	1,123 0 0 14,620 19,058 21,650 56,451 32,163	14,279 40,251 35.5	4,885 714 5,599 24,271 23,1		<u>June</u>	7.06 9.34 6.67 5.54 5.54 5.31
Мау	4,955 17,435 0 48,762 45,865 9,213 126,230 172,593	37,137 32,078 115.8	12,327 1,107 13,434 7,265 184.9	ا ا	May	8.09 10.54 7.93 7.11 6.61
Apr	9,935 31,252 0 44,702 21,668 26,513 184,070 1186,728	36,996 68,146 54.3	11,534 5,217 16,753 33,954 49.3	EVAPORATION DATA	April	6.72 9.67 6.75 6.18 6.18 5.82
Mar.	8,935 3 11,923 4- 11,923 4- 18,948 2 6,132 26 64,710 186 81,610 126		17,409 11 8,821 1 26,230 16 29,358 3 89.3	RATIO	March	6.14 8.35 4.97 5.41 5.41 5.10
		20 53		VAPC	Feb.	4.24 6.26 3.17 3.00 3.00 2.93
Feb.	6,342 10,807 0 3,076 6,484 1,462 28,171 43,659 64.5	3,906 38,822 10.1	7,785 12,760 20,545 16,989 120.9		Jan.	3.63 5.43 2.98 3.07 3.09
Jan.	4,190 13,721 0 11,381 11,773 10,297 52,082 45,255 115.1	13,373 37,153 36.0	7,831 5,653 13,484 14,193 95.0	TABLE 8.	Dec. Je	3.30 3.02 2.53 2.53 2.60 3.246 3.46
Dec.	5,891 10,070 0 6,151 1,544 8,881 32,537 43,863		7,988 1,107 9,095 2,811 323.6		Nov. De	3.57 3. 3.77 3. 2.83 2. 2.81 2. 2.87 2.
Nov.	4,820 3,954 0 8,462 4,715 3,157 25,108 46,007	298 16,096 4,764 4,655 9,072 33,245 25,333 18,161 3.3 48,4 18.8 25.6	4,178 1,071 5,249 23,766 22.1		Oct.	5.07 3. 4.58 2. 4.25 2. 4.28 4.28 4.28 2.
Oct.	8 244 0 15,066 0 14,651 0 4,323 5 4,490 7 38,774 7 30,706	298 16,096 072 33,245 3.3 48.4	12,316 2,848 15,164 25,533 26,533	ies		4.91 5.667 6.67 4.28 4.19 4.19 2.454 4.54 4.54
Sept	288 0 0 0 0 35 323 5,207 6.2	298 9,072 3.3	0 12,316 20,839 2,848 20,839 15,164 11,079 25,533 188.1 59.4	it in Incl	K. Sept.	
Aug.	0 0 2,802 0 0 0 2,802 16,845 16.6	1,067 69,291 1.5	1,904 0 7,097 20,839 9,001 20,839 57,946 11,079 15.5 188.1	82 - Un	ly Aug.	16 6.43 30 7.94 31 4.65 12 4.57 33 4.57
July	301 0 0 17,477 1,920 2,470 22,168 15,228	2,562 1,067 40,635 69,291 6.3 1.5	3,310 9,648 12,958 47,319 27.4	ruary 19	le July	9 7.16 9 7.80 33 4.81 86 5.12 86 5.12 11 5.33
June		63,129 40,251 156.8	7,694 4,455 12,149 24,271 50.0	ugh Feb	June	7.19 8.79 6.83 5,26 5.26 5.41
	Everglades Agricultural Area C. 10A 2,694 Ag. Pump 11,672 HGS-2 37,234 HGS-4 27,790 HGS-5 14,302 Total 93,692 Normal 32,163	Calousghatchee River Total Normal % of Normal	St. Lucie Canal Local S-80 Total Normal	Period June 1980 Through February 1982 - Unit in Inches	Station	Belle Glade Lake Alfred Lake Okeechobee WCA-1 WCA-2A

7.12 8.35 6.53 5.64 5.41 5.75

6.55 7.32 6.26 5.79 5.79 5.77

5.72 6.17 4.99 4.67 4.67 4.61

4.02 4.06 3.27 2.94 2.94 2.89

3.41 3.32 2.82 2.51 2.51 2.54

3.20 3.15 2.73 2.59 2.59 2.62

3.72 3.91 3.45 2.95 2.95 3.08

4.83 5.39 4.57 3.78 3.78 3.76

5,36 6,28 4,60 3,83 3,61 3,87

6.14 7.00 5.30 4.71 4.60 4.70

6.44 7.43 5.94 4.93 4.93 5.07

6.31 7.20 5.93 4.62 4.70

Belle Glade Lake Alfred Lake Okeechobee

WCA-1 WCA-2A WCA-3A

Average for Period June 1981 Through May 1982 - Unit in Inches

the demand withdrawals increase with coincident decreases in rainfall.

Evaporation data is a good indicator in the estimation of agricultural water demands. The pan evaporation data at Belle Glade and Lake Alfred Experimental Stations were selected as index stations, along with the evaporation data from Lake Okeechobee and the three Water Conservation Areas (Table 8). The evaporation data from Lake Okeechobee was computed by the Army Corps of Engineers based on pan evaporation data at HGS-2 and HGS-6 and then reduced by the coefficient 0.865 recommended for large water bodies like Lake Okeechobee. Evaporation data for the Water Conservation Areas was based on pan evaporation data at S-7 and the Tamiami Canal at 40-Mile Bend. The average monthly evaporation data is also shown in Table 8. The average pan evaporation at Belle Glade Experimental Station was based on the period from January 1925 through December 1981; at Lake Alfred Experimental Station, on the period May 1965-December 1981; the Water Conservation Areas, the period January 1963-December 1981. evaporation for Lake Okeechobee was based on the average values for the period January 1963-December 1981. These average values were considered "normal" for each area. The term "normal" was also used for flow data for these periods for base line comparison.

D. Results and Discussions

1. Historical Surface Inflow - Lake Okeechobee

The following table compares the percentage of surface inflow contributed by each of the major tributaries to the lake under historical conditions.

Tributary	% Contribution
Kissimmee River	44.84
Everglades Agricultural	Area** 21.74*
Fisheating Creek & Istok	poga** 22.89
Taylor Creek/Nubbin Slo	ugh 6.82
UEC (St. Lucie Canal)	3.71

*This figure will be reduced as a result of present operational procedures in Lake Okeechobee.

**Istokpoga includes flow through S-127, S-129, S-131, S-71, S-73 and S-84.

The Kissimmee River Basins (upper and lower) contribute approximately 45% of the total inflow. Thus, the availability of rainfall, land use, and water management practices in these basins have a great impact on the lake's water budget. The Everglades Agricultural Area is one of the major users of lake water, and, at the same time, is also one of the major contributors to the lake.

Since backpumping from the Everglades Agricultural Area has been suspended, over one-fifth of the water that usually flows to the lake will not be available. The diversion of agricultural runoff normally backpumped to the lake from the Everglades Agricultural Area to the Water Conservation Areas, mainly WCA-3A, has substantially reduced the amount of flow to the lake during the past few years.

2. Overall Water Storage Changes Since June 1, 1980

The overall water storage change in the Upper Kissimmee Lakes, Lake Istokpoga, Lake Okeechobee, and the three Water Conservation Areas for the period June 1, 1980 -February 28, 1982, is plotted in Figure 12. The water storage in Lake Okeechobee decreased since June 1, 1980, and the deficit increased rapidly between September 1, 1980 and July 31, 1981, with a total loss of 2,232,000 AF for the period June 1, 1980 -July 31, 1981. The storage recovered substantially during August 1981 due to Storm Dennis; however, the lake was still below the July 1980 level. Water storage inthe Water Conservation Areas increased during the wet season of 1980, began to decline after September 1, 1980, and dropped below the June 1, 1980 level by November 1, 1980, when the storage was 807,000 AF less than on June 1, 1980. The storage in the Water Conservation Areas approached regulation schedule after Storm Dennis (August 16-18, 1981). The storage change in the Upper Kissimmee Lakes and Lake Istokpoga was much less in magnitude than Lake Okeechobee and the Water Conservation Areas; about 36,000 AF less storage than the June 1980 level for the Upper Kissimmee Lakes, and a gain of 270 AF in Lake Istokpoga for the period June 1980 through July 1981.

3. Upper Kissimmee Lakes

The storage change in the Upper Kissimmee Lakes is normally due to evaporation, seepage, and regulatory releases when the lake stages exceed their regulation schedules. The gain in lake storage depends on rainfall over the basin.

Figures 13 and 14 show the readings at the beginning of each month from January 1980 through December 1982 as compared to the historical maximum, minimum, and average at Lake Tohopekaliga and Lake Kissimmee. The stage was slightly above normal during the first three months of 1980, then fell below normal until December




FIGURE 12. SURFACE WATER STORAGE CHANGE JUNE, 1980 TO MARCH, 1982.

1981 in Lake Tohopekaliga, and was still about two feet below normal in Lake Kissimmee.

However, during the drought period of June 1980 through December 1981 the lake stages did not drop drastically as the rain-fall deficit increased. The total water in storage by August 1981 was above the June 1980 level even though the rainfall deficit was 21.84 inches from June 1980. Figure 15 shows a plot of accumulated rainfall deficit and total lake storage change during this period. The storage decreased slightly when the rainfall deficit became greater than 14 inches; however, the storage increased even with an increase in the rainfall deficit. This implies that these lakes were receiving a certain amount of groundwater recharge, through seepage from upland basins, which was sufficient to offset the evaporation. (Refer to Table 8 for evaporation data at Lake Alfred, and Table 4 for rainfall deficit).

4. Lake Okeechobee

As previously presented, the total rainfall deficit over most of the District's area during this drought period was more than 23 inches. The Everglades Agricultural Area experienced a deficit of 25 to 30 inches; a deficit of 30.5 inches was recorded in the Kissimmee River Valley, and a deficit of

27.5 inches was observed in the Fisheating Creek and the Lake Istokpoga areas. These rainfall deficits had an unquestionable effect on the runoff contributions to Lake Okeechobee.

a. Surface Water Inflow from Fisheating Creek and Lake Istokpoga Areas

This area normally contributes about 22.9% of total inflow to Lake Okeechobee which is about 491,000 AF per year. The normal runoff contribution from these basins to Lake Okeechobee from June 1980 through February 1982 should have been 915,000 AF as compared to 107,230 AF during this drought period, or 11.7% of normal. (The analysis of monthly flows is presented in Table 6.) The percent of normal ranged from 0 to 37.1 of the monthly normal. In particular, May and June 1981 were very dry.

b. <u>Surface Water Inflow from Everglades</u> Agricultural Area

The total runoff contribution from June 1980 through July 1981 was only 91,794 AF as compared to 598,600 AF under normal conditions, representing only 15.3% of normal. The monthly




FIGURE 13. STAGE HYDROGRAPH - LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA (1980-1981)




FIGURE 14. STAGE HYDROGRAPH - LAKE KISSIMMEE (1980 - 1981)




FIGURE 15. ACCUMULATED DEFICIENCIES - UPPER KISSIMMEE LAKES

comparisons with the historical normal are also shown in Table 6. The percent of the monthly normal ranged from 0 to 37.1, with several months close to zero flow during the dry season. Therefore, it is obvious that the reduction in backpumping from the EAA to Lake Okeechobee, and the lack of rainfall, had a great deal of impact on runoff contributions to Lake Okeechobee. Due to Tropical Storm Dennis (August 16-18, 1981), runoff from the EAA was backpumped into the lake; thus, the inflow from the EAA was substantially increased during late August and September 1981.

c. <u>Surface Water Inflow from St. Lucie</u> <u>Canal Basin</u>

The amount of inflow from this basin can be estimated from the flow through Port Mayaca lock. Since the lock was not activated until July 1978, the historical inflow to the lake from this basin was estimated by using a rainfall-runoff relationship based on the

mass balance of average basin rainfall, discharges at the Port Mayaca Lock and the St. Lucie Lock, and storage change in the St. Lucie canal. The monthly inflows to Lake Okeechobee during this drought period are tabulated in Table 6.

A total of 54,200 AF was estimated through Port Mayaca lock representing 36.8% of normal.

d. <u>Surface Water Inflow from Kissimmee</u> River Basin

The Kissimmee River basin generally contributes about 45% of the total inflow to Lake Okeechobee. The total contribution during the period of June 1980-July 1981 (14 months) was about 154,000 AF, which is 11.8% of normal. The total contribution for the 21 month period, June 1980-February 1982, was about 295,700 AF, or 14.9% of normal. Almost the same amount of flow was contributed to the lake during the seven month period of August 1981-February 1982, than in the preceding 14 months. (See Table 6 for monthly values). The

lack of runoff from the Kissimmee was one of the major factors that caused the lake stage to drop to its record low on July 29, 1981.

e. <u>Surface Water Outflows from Lake</u> Okeechobee

Surface water outflows from Lake Okeechobee are essentially made to meet local demands, or for regulatory releases. Table 7 illustrates the total surface water releases to the Everglades Agricultural Areas and the St. Lucie Canal and Caloosahatchee River basins as compared to the historical norm, including regulatory releases. The total releases during this 21 month period were 909,227 AF for EAA, 253,500 AF for the Caloosahatchee River, and 196,832 AF for St. Lucie Canal. Therefore, the total surface water release for this 21 month period was 1,359,559 AF as compared to the total surface water inflow of 1,094,206 AF for the same period. Evaporation is the major outflow from Lake Okeechobee.

Table 8 shows the monthly evaporation data for selected locations within the District area. As compared to the total rainfall of 55.4 inches, total evaporation lost for this 21 month period was 96.15 inches for Lake Okeechobee. This loss was 101.2% of normal. The table below summarizes the total inflow, outflow, rainfall, and evaporation for the period June 1980 through July 1981, and August 1981 through February 1982.

Comparison of Surface Water Inflows and Outflows in Lake Okeechobee

	June '80	% of	Aug. '81	% of
	July '81 (AF)	Normal	Feb. '82N	
S.W.Inflow	332,471	12.7	761,735	58.1
Rainfall	1,405,000	68 .3-	655,000	82.7
Total Inflow	1,737,471	1	,416,735	
S.W.Outflow	1,198,050	78.8	161,509	26.3
Evapotrans-				
piration	2,771,200	102.2-	944,000	98.4
(Inches)	69.79			
Total Outflow	3,969,250	1	,105,509	
(Outflow-Inflow)				
	2,231,779		-311,226	
	Deficit		Increase	

Total water releases from Lake Okeechobee for the period June 1980 through July 1981 were more than three times the total inflow, and the total ET losses were almost twice as much as rainfall input to the lake, and more than twice the amount of surface water outflow from the lake. Therefore, it can be concluded that far below normal rainfall and surface inflow were the primary causes of the lake stage dropping to a record low of 9.75 ft msl on July 29, 1981. The increasing rainfall and inflow during the period from August 1981 through February 1982, combined with a reduction of outflows, were the main reasons that the lake stage started to recover.

f. The Water Conservation Areas

The Water Conservation Areas receive runoff from the Everglades Agricultural Areas and a portion of the C-11 basin in Broward County; therefore, a rainfall deficit in these areas will have an impact on the amount of inflow to the WCA's.

There is a close correlation between rainfall deficit and the reduction of water storage in the Water Conservation Areas for the period June 1980 through July 1981. Due to Tropical Storm Dennis, water storage in the WCA's recovered and reached the top of regulation schedule on August 20, 1981.

The following table summarizes the total rainfall, inflows, outflows, water releases, and ET for the WCA's for the period June 1980 through July 1981 as compared to normal values.

				Total	
				Coastal	
	Rainfall	Inflow	Outflow	Releases	\mathbf{ET}
WCA	% Norm	% Norm	% Norm	% Norm	% Norm
1	70.0	35.4	55.8	208.8	110.0
2A	67.0	35.3	73.2	80.7	110.0
3A	81.3	69.1	30.3	122.1	107.3

A drawdown in WCA-2A started November 1, 1980, causing a substantial amount of water to be released to WCA's 2B and 3A. The ET rate increased from 7% to 10% above normal. The water releases for WCA-1 were also above normal. Seepage during this period was less than normal due to lower hydraulic heads; therefore, the reduction of water storage in the WCA's was due to the lack of normal rainfall, surface water inflows, and an increase

in ET in the areas. (Ref. Tables 9, 10, and 11 f 9or quantitative comparisons.)
g. Hydrological Comparisons, of Droughts of 1970-71, 1973-74, 1980-81

Table 12 shows the comparisons of surface water inflows from tributaries to Lake Okeechobee during June through October and November through May for the droughts of 1970-71, 1973-74 and 1980-81. The surface water inflows during the 1980-81 drought were far less than during the droughts of 1970-71 and 1973-74. Inflow from the Kissim-mee River was slightly above normal during the period June 1970 through October 1970 as compared to 31% of normal for the same period in 1973, and 16% of normal for the 1980-81 drought. The surface water inflows during the dry season of 1980-81 were also far less than for other drought periods except for the St. Lucie Canal basin.

Table 13 presents the comparisons of the surface water outflows from Lake Okeechobee during the periods June-October and November-May. In general, the outflows from the lake during the 1980-81 drought were more than for the 1970-71 and 1973-74 drought periods, except for releases to the Everglades Agricultural Areas during the dry season. This was due to the water supply restrictions during the 1980-81 dry season. All these outflows were demand deliveries. The water demand increases as the rainfall decreases.

Tables 9, 10, and 11 show the comparisons of rainfall, surface water inflows, outflows and water releases in the three Water Conservation Areas for the wet (June through October) and dry (November through May) seasons.

Table 9 shows these comparisons for WCA-1. The wet season rainfall for the 1980 drought was less than other previous dry years, such as 1970, 1971, 1973, and 1974. Dry season rainfall for 1980-81 was more than for 1970-71, but less than for 1973-74. Inflows to WCA-1 during the 1980 and 1981 wet seasons were slightly more than during the 1970 wet season, but far below the 1973 inflows in the wet season. However, the inflows to WCA-1 during the dry season of 1980-81 were slightly higher than

those in the 1970-71 and 1973-74 dry seasons. Outflows from WCA-1 during the wet season of 1980-81 were about the same as in 1970, 1973, and 1974, but much greater than in 1971 which was only 0.1% of normal. Outflows during the dry season of 1980-81 were slightly more than in 1970-71 and in 1973-74; however, water releases to meet local demands from WCA-1 during the 1980-81 drought were much greater than other previous droughts. Outflows from WCA-1 included the outflows through the S-10 structures and water releases through S-5A(S), S-3 at L-40 (Lake Worth Drainage District), and S-39, etc. The local dry season demand in the east Palm Beach County area from WCA-1 was 174.9% of normal as shown in the last column of Table 9.

Table 10 shows the comparisons of rainfall, inflow, outflow and water releases for WCA-2A. The wet season rainfall of the 1980-81 drought was less than other previous drought periods, in general. The dry season rainfall was slightly more than in 1970-71, but less than in 1973-74. Inflow to WCA-2A during the wet season of 1980-81 was much greater than in 1971, but less than other periods. Inflow to WCA-2A during the dry season of 1980-81 was less than other periods, which may have been due to the drawdown schedule at the time. Outflows from WCA-2A during the 1980 wet season and the 1980-81 dry season were more than in other periods, a contributing factor to the increase in outflows was the drawdown schedule in WCA-2A.

Table 11 shows the comparison of rainfall, inflow, and outflow in WCA-3A. The wet season rainfall for 1980 was 20% less than in other drought periods; however, the dry season rainfall in 1980-81 was more than in 1970-71 and in 1973-74. Surface inflows to WCA-3A were near normal for the wet seasons of 1970 and 1980, and 150% to 250% of normal for 1973 and 1974. The surface inflows to WCA-3A during the dry seasons of 1970-71, 1973-74, and 1980-81, however, were approximately 27, 47, and 50 percent of normal. respectively. Surface outflows during the wet season of 1971 were about 34% of normal as compared to 49% and 54% of normal for 1973 and 1980,

TABLE 9. COMPARISON OF RAINFALL, SURFACE WATER INFLOW AND OUTFLOW IN WCA-1

Period: June - Oc	Rainfall Inches	% of Normal	Inflow AF	% of Normal	Total Outflow <u>AF</u>	% of <u>Normal</u>	Water Releases to LEC AF	% of <u>Normal</u>			
1970	25.44	81.5	201,850	45.0	210,362	108.6	28,732	185.4			
1971	30.51	97.7	163,967	36.6	200	0.1	200	1.3			
1973	34.84	111.6	296,670	66.1	158,190	81.7	760	4.9			
1974	31.00	99.3	411,480	91.7	263,900	136.3	2,340	15.1			
1980	22.24	71.2	216,710	48.3	188,580	97.4	61,650	397.9			
1981	29.17	93.4	174,398	38.9	165,235	85.3	22,420	144.7			
Period: Novembe	Period: November - May										
1970-1971	9.34	56.7	36,893	25.0	58,340	31.6	58,340	115.9			
1973-1974	16.01	97.3	25,399	17.2	47.110	25.5	30,410	60.4			
1980-1981	11.68	71.0	38,491	26.1	88,037	47.7	88,037	174.9			

TABLE 10. COMPARISON OF RAINFALL, SURFACE WATER INFLOW AND OUTFLOW IN WCA-2A

Daniel Lane C	Rainfall Inches	% of Normal	Inflow AF	% of Normal	Total Outflow <u>AF</u>	% of Normal	Water Releases to L.E.C. AF	% of <u>Normal</u>		
Period: June - C		00.0	004 450	0.4.77	005.005	100.0	71.710	205 1		
1970	30.78	98.3	224,450	84.7	267,037	138.6	74,710	305.1		
1971	31.40	100.3	67,391	25.4	4,130	2.1	4,130	16.9		
1973	29.65	94.7	254,650	96.1	116,500	59.7	4,320	17.6		
1974	28.67	90.0	449,530	169.6	82,050	42.0	880	3.6		
1980	22.79	72.8	192,910	72.8	280,280	143.5	28,680	117.1		
1981	34.08	108.8	188,320	71.0	219,630	112.5	1,350	5.5		
Period: November - May										
1970-1971	8.40	54.0	37,275	19.5	52,490	24.7	50,170	170.9		
1973-1974	12.33	79.2	48,232	25.2	131.860	61.9	43,680	148.8		
1980-1981	10.35	66.5	21,513	11.3	100,933	47.4	29,090	99.1		

TABLE 11. COMPARISON OF RAINFALL, SURFACE WATER INFLOW AND OUTFLOW IN WCA-3A

	Rainfall Inches	% of Normal	Inflow _AF_	% of <u>Normal</u>	Outflow _AF_	% of Normal	Water Delivery to ENP/AF
Period: June - Octob							
1970	27.09	90.9	439,840	106.1	478,650	155.4	431,850
1 971	28.73	96.5	381,160	92.0	106,090	34.4	104,090
1973	31.85	107.0	616,890	148.9	149,780	48.6	140,970
1974	28.54	95.8	1,058,900	255.5	324,210	105.3	324,210
1980	23.00	77.2	399,332	96.4	165.240	53.6	165,240
1981	35.92	120.6	398,493	96.2	149,840	48.6	136,450
Period: November -	May						
1970-1971	7.96	54.97	91,059	26.9	152,440	19.6	132,060
1973-1974	6.62	45.72	159,236	47.0	178,290	22.9	122,190
1980-1981	12.60	87.02	169,992	49.6	172,449	22.2	130,390

TABLE 12. COMPARISON OF INFLOW TO LAKE OKEECHOBEE

	Fisheatin	g Creek							
	& Istokpoga Basin		Kissimmee River		Agricultur	al Areas	Upper East Coast		
	<u>AF</u> %	Normal	AF % of	<u>Normal</u>	AF % of	Normal	AF % of	Normal	
Period: June	- October								
1970	164,473	45.54	814,790	116.52	273,558	87.57	18,570	24.80	
1971	355,503	90.3	371,279	56.93	607,398	189.18	43,594	58.22	
1973	516,600	143.05	216,140	30.91	238,627	76.39	93,124	127.09	
1974	730,339	205.4	1,507,819	231.20	385,643	120.11	96,193	128.45	
1980	46,281	12.80	112,902	16.16	27,668	8.86	57,394	76.65	
1981	70,996	20.0	170,380	29.27	376,955	117.41	47,343	63.23	
Period: Nove	ember-May								
1970-1971	4,508	3.09	240,908	53.88	26,757	22.62	1,920	4.05	
1973-1974	13,777	9.46	164,150	36.71	38,914	32.90	11,244	23.72	
1980-1981	2,895	1.99	38,769	8.67	11,011	9.31	17,674	37.28	

TABLE 13. COMPARISON OF OUTFLOW FROM LAKE OKEECHOBEE

	Agricul	erglades tural Area of Normal	Calc <u>AF</u>	oosahatchee <u>% of Normal</u>	St. Luc <u>AF</u> <u>% of</u>	ie Canal 'Normal					
Period: June - Oct	<u>ober</u>										
1970	57,000	58.62	524,740	247.10	11,688	5.73					
1971	19,757	44.65	5,299	6.19	2,872	3.37					
1973	68,180	70.12	7,542	3.55	46,745	22.96					
1974	29,512	66.69	66,690	77.96	27,775	32.58					
1980	113,758	116.99	84,273	39.68	95,705	47.02					
1981	105,327	238.02	25,837	30.20	26,218	30.75					
Period: November	Period: November - May										
1970-1971	561,925	129.61	98,370	34.62	35,790	16.78					
1973-1974	570,066	131.49	93,100	32.76	65,056	30.51					
1980-1981	357,303	82.41	117,240	41.26	108,461	50.86					

respectively. The surface outflows during the dry season of 1970-71, 1973-74, and 1980-81 were about 20% of normal. Surface outflows from WCA-3A were, generally, either releases to meet Everglades National Park demands or releases to recharge wellfields close to

the Miami Canal. The system was not able to meet minimum park requirements during the wet seasons of 1971, 1973, and 1981, and the dry seasons of 1970-71, 1973-74, and 1980-81. This was due to severe water shortages in the area caused by severe rainfall deficits.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The aforementioned findings can be summarized briefly, as follows:

- The overall water loss during the period June 1, A. 1980 through July 31, 1981 from the major water storage areas within the District was 3,074,730 AF. Lake Okeechobee alone lost about 2,232,000 AF, the Water Conservation Areas lost about 807,000 AF and the Upper Kissimmee Lakes lost about 36,000 AF. Lake Istokpoga gained 270 AF. The period from August 1981 through February 1982, although less than normal, was still a filling period for most of the water storage area with the exception of the Water Conservation Areas. Water storage increased substantially in the Water Conservation Areas after Tropical Storm Dennis (August 16-19, 1981). The conditions in the Lower East Coast area and the rest of the south Florida peninsula were drastically changed from drought to flooding conditions.
- B. There were significant increases in evaporation in the Upper Kissimmee Basin as recorded at the Lake Alfred experimental station (approximately 121% of normal during June 1980 through July 1981). The overall evaporation for the 21 month period (June 1980 through Febru-ary 1982) was slightly above normal. The increasing rainfall deficit did not significantly decrease the storage in the Upper Kissimmee Basin lakes. This implied that the lakes were receiving a certain amount of groundwater recharge from the upland basins sufficient to compensate the increasing evaporation loss due to lack of rainfall in the basin. Lake Istokpoga had similar conditions in which the storage did not decrease further with increased rainfall deficit.
- Total runoff contribution to Lake Okeechobee C. from Fisheating Creek and Lake Istokpoga during the period of June 1980-February 1982 was 11.7% of normal, and 9.8% of normal for the June 1980-July 1981 period. The rainfall deficit for the same period was 27.5 inches for the Fisheating Creek and Lake Istokpoga basins, and 25 to 30 inches for the Everglades Agricultural Area. The total runoff contribution to Lake Okeechobee during those periods from the Everglades Agricultural Area was 15.3% and 4.1% of normal, respectively. The Kissimmee River and Upper Kissimmee Lakes basins, which generally contribute approximately 45% of the total inflow to Lake Okeechobee, contributed only 14.9% of normal from June 1980 through February 1982, and was 11.8% of normal for the period June 1980 through July 1981. The total rainfall deficit was

- 21.8 inches for the Upper Kissimmee Lakes, and 30.5 inches for the Kissimmee River Basin. All this indicated that the far below normal rainfall in these major tributaries of Lake Okeechobee had a severe impact on the runoff contribution to the lake.
- D. The overall runoff contribution to Lake Okee-chobee during the June 1980-July 1981 drought period was approximately 332,500 AF, and the total outflow was approximately 1,198,000 AF (ET not included) which was 360% of the total inflow. The ET rate from the lake during this period was 69.79 inches, or 102.2% of normal, and the rainfall was 37.80% of normal; therefore, the lack of normal rainfall in the lake and its tributaries caused the lake stage to drop to a record low of 9.75 ft msl on July 29, 1981.
- E. Evaporation in the Everglades Agricultural Area was about 107% of normal for the period of June 1980 through July 1981. The increasing water delivery during this period indicated an increased water demand resulting from the rainfall deficit and the increasing ET.
- The drought for the Lower East Coast and the F. Water Conservation Areas ended right after the passage of Tropical Storm Dennis which caused extensive flooding in the south Dade County area. The total surface inflow to the Water Conservation Areas was about 1,070,000 AF during the drought period from June 1980 through July 1981, and the total water releases from the Water Conservation Areas to the Lower East Coast and the Everglades National Park was about 574,000 AF. Rainfall in the Water Conservation Areas was between 67% to 81% of normal, and ET ranged from 107.3% to 110% of normal. Water releases to the Lower East Coast were 208.8%, 80.7%, and 122.1% of normal for WCA-1, 2A, and 3A; therefore, the water loss in the Water Conservation Areas during this 1980-81 drought was caused by a lack of normal rainfall and an increase in ET and water supply demands.
- G. The hydrological comparisons of the 1970-71, 1973-74, and 1980-81 droughts indicated that the 1980-81 drought was more severe and extensive in the District, with the exception of the Lower East Coast area.

APPENDIX A

DROUGHT FREQUENCY

Since droughts are characterized by long duration, the analysis of meteorological drought in this study was based on the least amount of rainfall that occurred in a specific duration. These specific durations were June through October, June through May of the following year, and November through April. For a given period of available record, the lowest rainfall values for six consecutive months, within a water year, were selected for frequency analysis.

Regional rainfall was based on the major watersheds within the District. A total of 14 basins were selected. The rainfall stations located within or around each basin were used. The monthly rainfall data from these stations were checked and sorted for short term and missing records. Then the basin rainfall was computed based on the weighted Thiessen Coefficient of all available rain gauges. If there were more than one missing record, a new weighted Thiessen Coefficient was computed based on the available rain gauges. If there were only two stations available, then the basin rainfall was based on the average of these two stations. The length of record used in this analysis was approximately 50 years.

The Gumbel Extreme Theory and the Log Pearson Type III Distribution were originally chosen for the analysis of drought frequency. After several statistical tests and plots of data points (Weibull Plotting Position Formula) with values computed from the statistical distributions, it was concluded that the Gumbel Extreme Theory did not provide better results than the Log-Pearson Type III Distribution; therefore, Log-Pearson Type III Distribution was considered suitable for this type of low rainfall analysis. The results of the drought frequency analysis for the 14 basins are shown in Figures A1 through A14.

A. Weibull Plotting Position Formula

 $P_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\mathbf{M}}{n+1} \mathbf{x} \ 100$

where

 $P_x(X) =$ probability of an event X equal or greater

M = largest to the smallest values

n = the number of years of record

B. Log Pearson Type III Distribution

- Transform the n annual events, X_i, to their logarithmic values Y_i;
 i.e. Y_i = log X_i for i = 1,2,...n
- 2. Compute the mean logarithm, \overline{Y}
- 3. Compute the standard deviation of the logarithms, $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$
- 4. Compute the coefficient of skewness, $C_{s,}$ where

$$C_s = n \frac{\sum (Y - \overline{Y})^3}{(n-1)(n-2)S_y^3}$$

5. Compute $Y_t = \overline{Y} + S_y K_t$

where K_t is the skew coefficient from Log-Pearson Type III Distribution Table obtained from C_s

6. Compute $X_t = antilog Y_t$

APPENDIX A FIGURES FOLLOW




Figure A1 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR EASTERN PALM BEACH COUNTY




Figure A2 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR EASTERN BROWARD COUNTY




Figure A3 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR DADE COUNTY




Figure A4 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR E. EVERGLADES AGRICULTURAL AREA




Figure A5 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR W. EVERGLADES AGRICULTURAL AREA




Figure A6 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE UPPER EAST COAST




Figure A7 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR FISHEATING CREEK BASIN




Figure A8 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE UPPER KISSIMMEE LAKES BASIN




Figure A9 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE KISSIMMEE RIVER BASIN




Figure A10 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR EAST AND WEST CALOOSAHATCHEE BASINS




Figure All DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE LOWER WEST COAST-EAST COLLIER
BASIN




Figure A12 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE LOWER WEST COAST-WEST COLLIER BASIN




Figure A13 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE LOWER WEST COAST - ESTEREO BASIN




Figure A14 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE LOWER WEST COAST - TIDAL CALOOSAHATCHEE & NORTH COAST BASINS

APPENDIX B RAINFALL STATION INFORMATION

				-							
Station	Period	Station Name	SE	$\underline{\text{TP}}$	<u>RG</u>	Station	Period	Station Name	SE	TP	RG
MRF100	1963-1983		26	47	40	MRF6075(WB9525)	1939-1982	West Palm Beach	0.4	40	40
MRF101		Boca Raton at SR441	18	47	42	MODEOTT/MD4771	1020 1064	Airport Lake Hiawassee	$\begin{array}{c} 31 \\ 02 \end{array}$	43 23	$\frac{43}{28}$
MRF102	1926-1983	Boca Road at Powerline	16	47	42	MRF6077(WB4771) MRF6080(WB1310)	1939-1967		29	45	22
MRF106	1960-1983	Water Conservation	10	**		MRF6082(WB8620)	1935-1982		32	47	41
		Area 3-26	28	49	39	MRF6083(WB1649)	1948-1966	Clewiston	11	43	34
MRF107	1957-1980	Key Groves	03	50 50	$\frac{40}{42}$	MRF6085(WB0887)		Bonita Springs 2ESE Fort Myers	$\frac{36}{01}$	47 45	25 24
MRF108 MRF109		Dixie Water Plant Sewell's Lock	18 14	50 50	41	MRF6093(WB3186) MRF61		Liberty Point	24	42	33
MRF115	1960-1983		27	50	39	MRF6107(WB7760)		Royal Palm Ranger	14	58	37
MRF12		Brooks Property	01	26	30	MRF6118(WB2298)		Devil's Garden	34	44	32
MRF131 MRF138		Pelican Lake 23 Pahokee 2	$\frac{23}{27}$	$\frac{42}{42}$	37 38	MRF6119(WB0611)	1924-1982	Belle Glade Experiment Sta.	05	44	37
MRF145	1971-1983		33	49	35	MRF6121(WB5719)	1956-1969	Miles City Tower	19	49	30
MRF206		Lehigh Acres 1	31	44	27	MRF6126(WB4091	1910-1982	Homestead _			
MRF253	1952-1982	Water Conservation	10	10	4.1	MDDC197/BD1905	1009 1075	Expereriment Sta.	35	56	38
MRF254	1951-1982	Area 1-9 Water Conservation	18	46	41	MRF6127(WB1305)	1902-1919	Cape Sable Ranger Station	27	60	33
11111 204	1001-1002	Area 2-17	14	48	39	MRF62	1951-1982		11	43	$3\overline{4}$
MRF39	1960-1983	Scotti Groves	04	36	39	MRF65	1929-1973		۰.	40	ο#
MRF4003(ARST3)	1955-1976	Taylor Creek	31	35	35	MRF66	1057 1079	- U. S. Sugar M & M Ranch	34 36	$\frac{42}{42}$	37 39
MRF4005(ARST5)	1955-1976	-Raulerson 3 Taylor Creek-Dixie 5		36	35	MRF67	1942-1973	Runyon - U. S. Sugar		$\frac{1}{43}$	$\frac{35}{37}$
MRF4008(ARSI1)		Indian River Farms				MRF68	1913-1973	Ritta - U.S. Sugar	28	43	35
		-Prange 1	06	32	39	MRF7034(WB6485)	1937-1981	HGS-6	35	37	35
MRF4011(CARSI4)	1951-1973	Indian River Farms	06	33	39	MRF7035(WB7859)		St. Lucie New Lock 1 Port Mayaca at	13	39	40
MRF4013(ARSM1)	1958,1973	-Lateral A Monreve Ranch-1	26	39	40	MRF7037(WB7293)	1940-1901	St. Lucie Canal	22	40	37
MRF4017(ARSM5)		Monreve Ranch-5	$\frac{25}{25}$	39	40	MRF7039(WB1654)		Clewiston HGS2	11	43	34
MRF46	1960-1983		35	37	34	MRF7040(WB0616)		Belle Glade HGS4	26	43	36
MRF47 MRF50		S-193 (HGS-6)	35	37	35	MRF7043(WB6657) MRF7045(WB2923)	1936-1981 1941-1972	Ortona Lock 2	$\frac{26}{28}$	42 45	30 29
MILLOO	1900-1962	Indian Prairie Canal at SR 78	25	39	33	MRF7052(WB5035)		Lignumvitae Key	06	64	$\frac{25}{37}$
MRF5007	1972-1982	La Belle Tower	16	43	29	MRF7054(WB8780)		Tamiami Trail@			-
MRF54		Pratt & Whitney	24	41	40	MODEOFF (MODEO)	1040 1000	40 mile bend	16	54	35
MRF56 MRF57	1951-1982	Pelican Lake D.D. #2	12	42 42	$\frac{32}{37}$	MRF7055(WB6318)	1940-1966	North New River Canal 1	16	45	3 6
MRF60	1929-1973	Benbow - U. S. Sugar	20	42	33	MRF7057(WB5668)	1901-1981	Miami WB City	19	54	41
MRF6003(WB1641)	1900-1982	Clermont	14	23	25	MRF7065(WB5663)		Miami WB Airport	30	53	41
MRF6005(WB7205)		Plant City	29	28 28	22	MRF7067(WB6988)		Pennsuco 5NW Boca Raton	10 19	52 47	39 43
MRF6006(WB4797) MRF6007(WB0478	1895-1982	Lakeland WB City Bartow	36 08	30	$\frac{23}{25}$	MRF7072(WB0845) MRF7079(WB6638)	1940-1982	Orlando WB Airport		22	30
MRF6008(WB9707)		Winter Haven	06	29	26	MRF7088(WB8775)		Tamiami Canal at Da			
MRF6009(WB4707)	1924-1982	Lake Alfred				100000000000000000000000000000000000000	1044 1004	BrowardLevee	04	54	39
MRF6010(WB5973)	1025 1002	Experiment Sta. Mountain Lake	$\frac{28}{27}$	27 29	$\frac{26}{27}$	MRF7093(WB3186) MRF71		Fort Myers Miami Lock	$\begin{array}{c} 01 \\ 02 \end{array}$	45 43	24 35
MRF6011(WB0390)		Babson Park	$\frac{27}{28}$	30	28	MRF7126(WB4091)		Homestead	02	40	90
MRF6012(WB9401)		Wauchula 2N	33	33	25			Experimental Sta.	35	56	38
MRF6013(WB0369)		Avon Park	22	33	28	MRF72 MRF73		South Shore	09 13	44 44	36 36
MRF6014(WB2288) MRF6015(WB0228)	1925-1982	DeSoto City 8SW	03 25	36 37	$\frac{28}{24}$	MRF76	1929-1963	South Bay S-5A	32	43	40
MRF6016(WB7395)		Punta Gorda	06	41	$\tilde{2}\tilde{2}$	MRF78	1928-1983	Greenacres	23	44	42
MRF6017(WB7397)		Punta Gorda 4	15	41	23	MRF79	1957-1982	Manatee Plantation	10		0.0
MRF6018(WB8942) MRF6019(WB6251)		! Titusville 2W ! Nittaw 1S	33 26	21 29	35 33	MRF81	1940-1983	at 6 mile bend Lake Worth Road	18	44	38
MRF6020(WB3137)		Fort Drum 5NW	$\frac{20}{29}$	33	35	MILLOI	1040-1000	and E1	31	44	42
MRF6021(WB2936)		Fellsmere 4W	23	31	37	MRF84	1940-1983	Boynton Road &			
MRF6022(WB5612)		Melbourne	11	28	37	MDFod	1090 1009	Military Trail SR-804 nr. Turnpike	23	45 45	$\frac{42}{42}$
MRF6023(WB9214)	1940-1962	Poro Beach FAA Airport	34	32	39	MRF85 MRF86		Shawano Pump 6	11	45	38
MRF6024(WB4332)	1916-1982	Isleworth	17	23	28	MRF87	1957-1983	Sawyer Ranch	28	45	38
MRF6025(WB3840)		Hart Lake	21	24	31	MRF88		SR-804 & SR-7	31	45	42
MRF6026(WB4620) MRF6027(WB4625)		Kissimmee Kissimmee 2	$\frac{22}{22}$	25 25	29 29	MRF90	1955-1983	Lake Worth Drainag District Office	11	46	42
MRF6030(WB1869)		Cornwell 4NW	30	35	32	MRF92	1928-1983	SR-806, 7.5 mi. west		40	
MRF6031(WB4845)	1933-1968	Lake Placid 2SW	02	37	2 9			of Delray	17	46	42
MRF6032(WB3207)		Fort Pierce	08	35	40	MRF93	1955-1982 1960-1982	SR-806 & SR-7	$\frac{19}{03}$	46 46	
MRF6033(WB6480) MRF6038(WB5895)		l Okeechobee 9W 2 Moore Haven Lock 1	$\frac{24}{12}$	37 42	$\begin{array}{c} 33 \\ 32 \end{array}$	MRF95 MRF96		Big B Ranch	10	46	
MRF6044(WB4662)	1929-1982		04	43	29	MRF98	1962-1982		06	48	36
MRF6046(WB4866)		Lake Trafford	35	46	28	MRF99	1973-1982		27	47	38
MRF6047(WB6078)	1942-1982	l Naples Leverglades City	19 14	50 53	$\frac{25}{29}$	MRF7086(WB6323)	1942-1982	North New River Canal 2	27	47	38
MRF6048(WB2850) MRF6053(WB8841)		Tavergiades City	26	62	38	MRF9008(DC001)	1960-1982	Wheeler Frye	13	54	40
MRF6058(WB)1716	1923-1958	3 Coconut Grove 7S	24	55	40	MRF9018(DC018)		Stonebraker	17	52	
MRF6063(WB5658)		Miami Beach	33	53	42	MRF9025(DC025)		Kendall Lakes West		54 56	
MRF6066(WB3909) MRF6068(WB3171)	1941-1982 1953-1981	! Hialean Ft. Lauderdale	18	53	41	MRF9095(DC095) MRF9097(DC097)		Ira Ebersole Homestead Airport	26 05	56 57	
	7000-1001	Experiment Sta.	22	50	41	MRF9098(DC098)		Tamiami Airport	16	55	
MRF6069(WB3163)		2 Ft. Lauderdale	17	50	42	CW001		West Palm Beach		10	
MRF6070(WB2114) MRF6071(WB7254)		3 Dania 4 WNW 2 Pompano Beach	30 34	50 48		MRF89	1959 1999	Water Plant! Water Conservation	21	43	42
MRF6073(WB4198)	1890-1959	Hvpoluxo	10	45		THE OF	1203-1904	Area 1-8	36	45	41
MRF6074(WB5182)	1941-1982	2 Loxahatchee	32	43							




Figure B1 RAINFALL STATIONS